## WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

		Yes	No	Notes
T.	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.84		1 210	170003
-	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	7		
	localized settlement observed on the			
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	:	I X	
	CCR?		/ \	
	Were conditions observed within the cells			
	containing CCR or within the general landfill		11/	
	operations that represent a potential disruption		X	
	to ongoing CCR management operations?		/	
:	Were conditions observed within the cells or			
	within the general landfill operations that	:		
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	:		
	the CCR management operations.	a awartas al a		
Fu	gitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	()):		
	Was CCR received during the reporting	1		recommendation of the second s
	period? If answer is no, no additional	Χ		
	information required.			
	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust	$\mathbf{V}$		
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	~		
	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to		1/	
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not		X	
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		'	metrica de la companya
	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on		V	
	landfill access roads?	<u> </u>	\ \	-E-10-AU-001
	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the			minimal European Commencer Consideration Con-
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe		X	
	corrective action measures below.			
	Are current CCR fugitive dust control	V		
	measures effective? If the answer is no,	X		
	describe recommended changes below.	₹ <del>Teris Sila</del> s		11
	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen		X	
	complaints received during the reporting		/\	
1 1	period? If the answer is yes, answer question  Were the citizen complaints logged?	22. 9.7. 25	Y	
	were the chizen complaints logged?	**************************************		